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## 1 This is a section

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog Eq. (1).
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog [1-8].
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog Figure 1.
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. Amit and Geman [1]
The quick brown fox Jumps over the lazy dog.
The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.
The quick [brown | chartreuse] fox jumps over the lazy [ass] dog
Aligned equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{i \pi}-1 & =0,  \tag{1}\\
\chi & =V-E+F \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Enumerate:

1. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog
2. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

Itemize:

- The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.
- The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.


## Description:

The The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.
Quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog. The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

### 1.1 This is a subsection

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.

[^0]Table 1: Table.

| Metrics | Sub | Phase 1 | Phase 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| The | quick | brown | fox |

Table 2: The median sample size for each method to achieve power $85 \%$ at type 1 error level 0.05 , grouped into monotone (type 1-5) and non-monotone simulations (type 6-19) for both one- and ten-dimensional settings, normalized by the number of samples required by Mgc. In other words, a 2.0 indicates that the method requires double the sample size to achieve $85 \%$ power relative to Mgc. Pearson, RV, and Cca all achieve the same performance, as do Spearman and Kendall. MGC requires the fewest number of samples in all settings, and on average for high-dimensional settings, all other methods require about two to three times more samples than Mgc.

| Dimensionality | One-Dimensional |  |  | Ten-Dimensional |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dependency Type | Monotone | Non-Mono | Average | Monotone | Non-Mono | Average |
| MGC | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| DCORR | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2.6 | 2.2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.2 | 2.6 |
| MCORR | $\mathbf{1}$ | 2.8 | 2.4 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 3.1 | 2.6 |
| HHG | 1.4 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 1.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| HSIC | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 2.4 | 2.2 |
| MANTEL | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3 | 1.6 | 1.9 |
| PEARSON / RV / CCA | $\mathbf{1}$ | $>10$ | $>10$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8}$ | $>10$ | $>10$ |
| SPEARMAN / KENDALL | $\mathbf{1}$ | $>10$ | $>10$ | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| MIC | 2.4 | 2 | 2.1 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

### 1.1.1 This is a subsubsection

The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.
This is a paragraph The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.
This is a subparagraph The quick brown fox jumps over the lazy dog.


Figure 1: Lion is awesome.

```
Algorithm 1 MgC test statistic. This algorithm computes all local correlations, take the smoothed maximum, and
reports the ( \(k, l\) ) pair that achieves it. For the smoothing step, it: (i) finds the largest connected region in the
correlation map, such that each correlation is significant, i.e., larger than a certain threshold to avoid correlation
inflation by sample noise, (ii) take the largest correlation in the region, (iii) if the region area is too small, or the
smoothed maximum is no larger than the global correlation, the global correlation is used instead. The running
time is \(\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)\).
Input: A pair of distance matrices \((A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\).
Output: The Mgc statistic \(c^{*} \in \mathbb{R}\), all local statistics \(\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\), and the corresponding local scale \((k, l) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}\).
    function MGCSampleStat \((A, B)\)
        \(\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{MGCAlLLocal}(A, B)\)
    \(\triangleright\) All local correlations
        \(\tau=\) THRESHOLDING \((\mathcal{C}) \quad \triangleright\) find a threshold to determine large local correlations
        for \(i, j:=1, \ldots, n\) do \(r_{i j} \leftarrow \mathbb{I}\left(c^{i j}>\tau\right)\) end for \(\quad \triangleright\) identify all scales with large correlation
        \(\mathcal{R} \leftarrow\left\{r_{i j}: i, j=1, \ldots, n\right\} \quad \triangleright\) binary map encoding scales with large correlation
        \(\mathcal{R}=\operatorname{CONNECTED}(\mathcal{R}) \quad \triangleright\) largest connected component of the binary matrix
        \(c^{*} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}(n, n) \quad \triangleright\) use the global correlation by default
        \(k \leftarrow n, l \leftarrow n\)
        if \(\left(\sum_{i, j} r_{i j}\right) \geq 2 n\) then \(\quad \triangleright\) proceed when the significant region is sufficiently large
            \(\left[c^{*}, k, l\right] \leftarrow \max (\mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{R}) \quad \triangleright\) find the smoothed maximum and the respective scale
        end if
    end function
```
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